

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

Øi/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/785,373	02/23/2004	Karl M. J. Lofgren	SNDK.015US6	8958
36257	7590 07/01/2005		EXAMINER	
PARSONS HSUE & DE RUNTZ LLP			HOANG, HUAN	
655 MONTGOMERY STREET SUITE 1800 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	A 1:				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/785,373	LOFGREN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Huan Hoang	2827				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Se	ptember 2004.					
<i>;</i>	, <del></del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-54</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-54</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
<u> </u>	_					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	_					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  </li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	, ,	-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	• •					
application from the International Bureau	•	· ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02,04,05,1104,0405</u> . 6) Other:						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 40-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The following limitations are not disclosed in the specification or shown in the drawings:

"enabling the first memory chip based on a comparison of <u>a portion of the</u>

<u>received address</u> with the first programmable code; and disabling the second memory

chip based on a comparison of <u>the portion of the received address</u> with the second

programmable code." (claim 40, lines 6-9).

"receiving a portion of an address at the first memory chip and at the second memory chip; comparing the portion of the address to the first selection code and to the second selection code; and enabling the first memory chip and disabling the second memory chip based on the comparison." (claim 45, lines 4-9).

"receiving <u>a portion of an address</u> at the first memory chip; enabling the first memory chip if <u>the received address portion</u> matches the first code; and otherwise disabling the first memory chip." (claim 51, lines 5-8).

According to the specification, page 15, paragraph [0069], line 4-8, "a 5-bit address is shifted into a shift register 311 .... The 5-bit address array address is then passed from the shift register 311 via the bus 313to the comparator 305. The comparator 305 compares this address with that obtained from the device-select pinouts147." Clearly, the comparator compares the received address with that obtained from the device-select pinouts. The comparator does not compare a portion of the received address with the first programmable code or the second programmable code as required in claims 40, 45 and 51.

## Interference

- 3. Claims 40-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 135(b) as not being made prior to one year from the date on which Pub. No. US 2002/0052633 was published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). See *In re McGrew*, 120 F.3d 1236, 1238, 43 USPQ2d 1632,1635 (Fed. Cir. 1997) where the Court held that the application of 35 U.S.C. 135(b) is not limited to *interpartes* interference proceedings, but may be used as a basis for *ex parte* rejections.
- 4. Claims 40-54 of this application is asserted by applicant to correspond to claim(s) 1-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,525,986.

The examiner does not consider this claim to be directed to the same invention as that of U.S. Patent No. 6,525,986 because the enabling/disabling of the memory chip

Art Unit: 2827

in the memory module in the instant application is different from that in the US Patent No. 6,525,986. Accordingly, an interference cannot be initiated based upon this claim.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huan Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1779. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoai Ho can be reached on (571) 272-1777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Huan Hoang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2827

HH 6/27/05.